

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,909	09/17/2003	Julian A. Quintero	114520.01	2640
45473	7590 07/13/2006		EXAMINER	
HUTCHISON LAW GROUP PLLC			HUYNH, KHOA D	
PO BOX 316 RALEIGH, 1		•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
141221011,			3751	-
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_ ,
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/663,909	QUINTERO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del> .
	Khoa D. Huynh	3751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	ş
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peric Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  Diy be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communi  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	April 2006.		
<u> </u>	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the mer	its is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)	s/are withdrawn from considera	ation.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and are applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc ection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stag	<b>e</b>
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date	[]	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/663,909 Page 2

Art Unit: 3751

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 2-16, 20-28, 37-44, 51 and 52, drawn to an applicator/dispenser assembly, classified in class 401, subclass 133.
  - II. Claims 47-49, drawn to method of applying/dispensing adhesive material onto a substrate, classified in class 401, subclass 292.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process/method and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as a process that does not require the steps of dispensing the adhesive material, applying the dispensed adhesive material to a substrate to be bonded, wherein the substrate is tissue.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3751

4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khoa D. Huynh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4888. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Khoa D. Huynh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

Chorte

HK 07/09/2006